

To: Vaughn, Stephanie[Vaughn.Stephania@epa.gov]; Donovan, Betsy[Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov]
Cc: Fajardo, Juan[Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov]
From: Kaur, Supinderjit[/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E7DFB6BB53994792A0D250301045F2A3-KAUR, SUPIN]
Sent: Thur 3/1/2018 9:50:45 PM (UTC)
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls FS comments.....

I changed comments 9 and 59 to reflect the changes below.

Stephanie – Comment 70 is yours, Was “Give suggested language” intended for internal discussion?

Thank you

From: Vaughn, Stephanie
Sent: Thursday, March 1, 2018 2:40 PM
To: Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>
Cc: Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls FS comments.....

Let’s change Comment 9 to, As discussed in the general comments, unless a deed restriction is put in place, a contingent soil remedy assuming future residential use must be included.

And change 59 to, As discussed in the general comments, if necessary, a contingent soil remedy for potential future residential use will need to be added and carried through the rest of the document.

Juan – good catch on the general comments, the revised FS will be due 4/1, not 4/15 (Supinder, please change this).

Do you think any other changes are needed to General Comment 2, or is it okay as written? I know we’ll need to have a follow up conversation with them.

Thanks

From: Donovan, Betsy
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 2:29 PM
To: Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>; Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephania@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls FS comments.....

I quickly read over all the comments and have the following comments –

Comments 9 and 59 regarding inclusion of a contingent residential alternative. I thought it was agreed that we would not require a full-blown residential alternative and evaluation since the land use issue is nearing completion and therefore we could proceed without it if the covenant was executed and held in escrow (I may have not gotten all the details on this...)

Comment 70 – Were we going to provide some language?

From: Kaur, Supinderjit
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 1:54 PM
To: Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephania@epa.gov>; Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>; Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; Griffiths, Rachel <griffiths.rachel@epa.gov>; Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Carpenter, Angela <Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Rolling Knolls FS comments.....

Thanks Stephanie! The general comments and email look good to me.

Attached are the specific comments. Please let me know if you’d like any additional changes. I’ll combine the general and specific comments to one document before sending them out.

Supinder

From: Vaughn, Stephanie
Sent: Thursday, March 1, 2018 12:34 PM
To: Fajardo, Juan <Fajardo.Juan@epa.gov>; Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>; Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; Griffiths, Rachel <griffiths.rachel@epa.gov>; Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Carpenter, Angela <Carpenter.Angela@epa.gov>
Subject: Rolling Knolls FS comments.....

Hi All,

Here is a draft email to transmit the FS comments, along with current version of the general comments. Supinder will send a revised/cleaned up version of the specific comments, and we'll add the general comments to those. We'd like to get this out today if at all possible.

Juan – I'll need you input on AOC references, etc. below. Also, for General Comment 2, see my question in red.

All – please review the general comments.

I'm assuming this will come from Supinder, with a cc to me, Betsy, Juan and Rachel.
Thanks

Hi John,

Attached are comments on the draft FS report for the Rolling Knolls site which was submitted by the group on December 29, 2017. These comments incorporate input from EPA, NJDEP and FWS.

Once you have had a chance to review these comments, please let us know if you would like to set up a meeting to discuss any of them. EPA requests that a revised document be submitted by April 2, 2018.

Overall, EPA is surprised at the content and quality of this draft FS report. There are inconsistencies within the report and numerous areas that require further clarification. As you know, barring any unforeseen developments, the region currently plans to sign a Record of Decision for the site by September of this year. EPA does not want to take over revision of this document, but if the next draft is not substantially improved, we may elect to do so, as per **Section xx** of the AOC.

Stephanie Vaughn
Chief, Mega Projects Section
Special Projects Branch
U.S. EPA Region 2 Superfund Program
vaughn.stephanie@epa.gov
212.637.3914